

Michael J. Curls (SBN 159651)  
Nichelle D. Jones (SBN 186308)  
LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL J. CURLS  
4340 Leimert Boulevard, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, California 90008  
Tel: 323-293-2314  
Fax: 323-293-2350  
E-mail: michael@mjclawoffice.com  
nichelle@mjclawoffice.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rebecca S. Widen, SBN 219207  
HAAPALA, THOMPSON & ABERN, LLP  
1939 Harrison Street, Suite 800  
Oakland, California 94612  
Tel: 510-763-2324  
Fax: 510-273-8534  
E-mail: rwiden@htalaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO

MARLENE HENDERSON, THE ESTATE OF  
EDYTH HENDERSON, and THE ESTATE  
OF JOSEPH HENDERSON,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ, THE SANTA  
CRUZ COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT, THE SANTA CRUZ  
COUNTY HEALTH SERVICES AGENCY,  
and DOES 1 THROUGH 25, INCLUSIVE,

Defendants

Case No.: 3:14-cv-03544 WHO  
(HRL)

**UPDATED JOINT CASE  
MANAGEMENT STATEMENT  
AND PROPOSED ORDER**

**Date: January 30, 2018**  
**Time: 2:00 p.m.**  
**Courtroom: 2, 17th Floor**

The Parties submit the following joint case management conference statement in keeping with the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California-Contents of Joint Case Management Statement dated November 1, 2014 and Civil Local Rule 16-9. Rather than repeat information disclosed in prior Case Management Conference statements that has not changed, for clarity and brevity, the Parties have attempted to limit this Case Management Conference statement to new information and updates as follows:

**I. RELATED CASES AND STAY/ABATEMENT ISSUES.**

In the present civil action, Plaintiffs allege that the County Defendants acted wrongfully in releasing a former inmate, James Henderson, who later went on to murder the decedents. There is a related criminal case entitled *People of the State of California v. James Roland Henderson*, Santa Cruz Superior Court Case No. F25036. This is the pending criminal case against James Henderson involving the two murders which form the alleged basis of the civil case before this Court.

As a result of the pendency of this criminal case, and with the Court's consent, at a prior Case Management Conference on September 26, 2017, the parties agreed to stay or abate this civil action to allow the criminal case to proceed. Since the last Case Management Conference in this matter the following has occurred in James Henderson's criminal case:

The case is currently set for a trial readiness conference on February 14, 2018 and set for jury trial on February 20, 2018.

Because the criminal case against James Henderson remains ongoing, the parties again request that this matter be stayed or abated pending resolution of James Henderson's criminal trial.

**II. DISCOVERY.**

No discovery has taken place in the case to date as a result of the stay, and the

1 parties again request that none take place while the case remains stayed pending  
2 resolution of the related criminal action against James Henderson.

3 **III. SETTLEMENT AND ADR.**

4 The parties have been referred to the Court's ADR program and have selected  
5 mediation. In light of the stay of the case, the previously set ADR completion date has  
6 been stayed by stipulation of the parties and prior Order of this Court.  
7

8 **IV. SCHEDULING.**

9 In light of the concurrent criminal prosecution of James Henderson for the  
10 murders which form the basis of this civil case, the parties propose that the Court  
11 continue the stay or abatement of this action and set a further Case Management  
12 Conference to take place after the anticipated 3-4 week criminal jury trial of James  
13 Henderson has concluded.  
14

15 Therefore, the parties request the Court set a further Case Management  
16 Conference in September 2017, after the next scheduled hearing in the criminal matter.  
17 At that point, the parties can discuss appropriate scheduling and address any continued  
18 need for a stay or abatement of this action as may be necessary to allow James  
19 Henderson's criminal case to run its course.  
20

21 As before, the County Defendants contend that the case is subject to *Younger*  
22 abstention (*Younger v. Harris* 401 US 37, 49-53 (1971) due to the pendency of the  
23 criminal action against James Henderson. Alternatively, the action is also subject to a  
24 *Wallace* stay under *Wallace v. Kato*, 549 U.S. 384, 394 (2007), which permits a federal  
25 court to stay consideration of a federal claim until the criminal case is resolved if claim  
26 relates to rulings that will likely be made in a pending criminal trial.  
27  
28

29 The County Defendants assert that the outcome and resolution of the criminal  
30 case against James Henderson is material to the civil case against the County here  
31 because, *inter alia*, Plaintiffs' damages are based on the assumption that James  
32

1 Henderson is guilty of killing the decedents.

2 Dated: January 23, 2018 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL J. CURLS

3  
4 By: \*/s/ Nichelle D. Jones  
5 Nichelle D. Jones  
6 Attorney For Plaintiff

7  
8 Dated: January 23, 2018 HAAPALA, THOMPSON & ABERN, LLP

9  
10 By: /s/ Rebecca S. Widen  
11 Rebecca S. Widen  
12 Attorneys for Defendant  
13 \*Ms. Widen provided her consent that this  
14 document be electronically filed

15 **CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER**

16 The above Updated Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is  
17 approved as the Case Management Order for this case. This case remains stayed.

18 The next Case Management Conference shall be held on

19 \_\_\_\_\_.

20  
21 Dated:

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 Honorable William H. Orrick  
24 United States District Court Judge